

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/753,768 | 01/02/2001 | Scott D. Redmond | 2019 | |
| 7590 01/25/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Carla Gannon Law 118 SW 2nd Street | | | SHELEHEDA, JAMES R | |
| Oak Island, NC | *** | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · | | | 2617 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/753,768 | REDMOND, SCOTT D. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | James Sheleheda | 2617 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication an | | · | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory particles (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r | not been received. | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as rec Allowability (PTO-37). | quired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | | VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |
| | of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 20050111 | | | |